ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: M08358

02/17/12 02/21/12 02/22/12

Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Client: Project:

Alaskan Copper Works

Lab ID:

Metro Self Monitor M08358, F&BI 202198 202198-01 x10

Data File: 202198-01 x10.013

Instrument: ICPMS1 Operator: AP

Lower

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 97

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium Nickel Copper Zinc

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Method Blank Not Applicable

02/21/12 02/22/12

Water ug/L (ppb) Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Project: Lab ID: Metro Self Monitor M08358, F&BI 202198 I2-115 mb

Data File:

I2-115 mb.008 Instrument: ICPMS1 AP

Operator:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 98

Lower Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte:

Matrix:

Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <5

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/24/12 Date Received: 02/17/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08358, F&BI 202198

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 202197-04 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent				
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD		
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit, 20)		
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.06	80	81	67-132	1		
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	14.5	77 b	80 b	73-119	4 b		
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.09	77	77	50-144	0		
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	<5	78	79	46-148	1		

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	66-135							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	67-134							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-134							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	57-135							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ir The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits.
 The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Martin (net) and	N. (200) 205-2055	3013 Fath Avenue West	Principal de Bruga, Inc.									M 08358	Sample ID		Phone # 206-571-6033	City Mark TOP SEPARTICE	628 S.	1	C Company
	m/2/	11		. ,					·		٠	10	Lab ID		37 Fax# 2		A	」 「	_1
	ann											R/4/12	Date		Fax # 2016-382-4809	US/86 AW	0	Howard / C	
			36									9:30	Time		4809	134	8	1	
	Nhan	3,		,								$\mathcal{G}H$	Sample Type			REMARKS	METRO	PROJECT NAMEANO.	SAMPLETS (Nonchure)
		JAMEY Trompos	THE REAL PROPERTY.									/	# of				SECT MOVIEW	AME S	(cignosture,
	Phan	hon	2		-	-	-	_					TPM-Die				M		
	1	8											TPH-Gaso				4		
						-							VOCs by 8	200			ğ		
1 17					+	 	-	-		<u>,</u>			SVOCe by	estro E			3		
	71	支	a			1	 					J	CO Cu A				mo8388	8	q
	FeBI	18	COMPANY				1					-Y-	CRCUN	200			S		
	14		3										•		600	- ·			711
														19	17	7			
	12	10													O Materia esti es	E		- 5	341
	130	2/7/12	A										3 3				8	7000	
	_2	***************************************	F										Z		5		K	I	
	1057	10:51 8	3					2		,			Notes		missirections	TASO.	rised by:		7

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

February 24, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on February 17, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08358, F&BI 202198 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0224R.DOC